Application No.: 10/824,385

Attorney Docket No.: 20402-00621-US1

REMARKS

Claims 35-43 are pending in the application. Claims 1-34 were previously canceled. Claims 35, 36, 38, 39, 41 and 42 were amended by way of the present amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 35-43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over <u>Perkins</u> (U.S. Patent No. 3,568,909) in view of <u>Fa et al</u>. (U.S. Patent No. 5,785,228).

35 U.S.C. §103 Rejections

Claims 35 to 43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Perkins</u> in view of <u>Fa et al</u>. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Claims 35, 36, 38, 39, 41 and 42 were amended to clarify the invention. In particular, claim 35 was amended to recite:

combined grooves and ridges formed on a second surface formed on another one of said valve piston and said plunger, said grooves and ridges being alternately arranged along a circumferential direction of the other one of said valve piston and said plunger, said second surface opposing to said first surface, wherein said ridges hold said seal member and prevent said seal member from being pulled off from the first surface formed on said one of said valve piston and said plunger and at the same time said grooves cooperatively define an air passage between said valve piston and said plunger.

Claims 38 and 41 have been similarly amended. Support for the amendments is provided at least at lines 20-27 of page 19; lines 20-27 of page 20, and lines 20-28 of page 21; and shown at least in Fig. 4, Fig. 7 and Fig. 15, of the specification. Therefore, the amendments raise no question of new matter.

<u>Perkins</u> discloses a fastener driving machine with a cylinder and a moveable piston.¹ In particular, <u>Perkins</u> discloses a fastener driving machine 11 that includes an outer casing 12

¹ Perkins at ABSTRAC.

Application No.: 10/824,385

Attorney Docket No.: 20402-00621-US1

containing a cylinder 13 that further contains a piston 14 that is reciprocatingly movable.² In addition, <u>Perkins</u> discloses that piston 14 is sealed within the cylinder 13 by means of O-rings 37 and that a pressure air chamber 39 is provided within outer casing 12.³

However, <u>Perkins</u> nowhere discloses, as recited in claim 35, and similarly in claims 38 and 41:

combined grooves and ridges formed on a second surface formed on the other one of said valve piston and said plunger, said grooves and ridges being alternately arranged along a circumferential direction of the other one of said valve piston and said plunger, said second surface opposing to said first surface, wherein said ridges hold said seal member and prevent said seal member from being pulled off from the first surface formed on said one of said valve piston and said plunger and at the same time said grooves cooperatively define an air passage between said valve piston and said plunger (emphasis added).

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that <u>Perkins</u> alone clearly does not disclose the claimed invention. In fact, the outstanding Office Action acknowledges the deficiencies of <u>Perkins</u> and attempts to overcome those deficiencies with <u>Fa et al</u>. However, <u>Fa et al</u>. can not overcome the deficiencies of <u>Perkins</u>, as will be discussed below.

Fa et al. discloses a dual mode pneumatically driven fastener driving tool that utilizes a resettable valve trigger apparatus, a work contact element and a mode selecting trigger blocking pin.⁴ In particular, Fa et al. discloses a pneumatic tool 1 equipped with a trigger valve apparatus 9 which includes a plunger 18, an extensible stem or core 18, a reciprocating shuttle 34, an upper valve body 30, a lower valve body 31, and O-ring seals 51-53, 56, 57 that are located in grooves on the reciprocating shuttle 34.

In addition, <u>Fa et al</u>. discloses grooves and ridges formed on a surface of the plunger 18 that are alternately arranged along an axial direction of the plunger 18.⁵ Further, <u>Fa et al</u>.

² Id. at column 1, line 71; to column 2, line 5.

³ Id. at column 2, lines 27-37.

Fa et al. at ABSTRACT.

⁵ *Id.* at Fig. 7.

Application No.: 10/824,385 Attorney Docket No.: 20402-00621-US1

discloses seals 51, 52 and 53 arranged on the outer surface of a valve piston 34, and seals 56 and 57 are arranged on the grooves so as to be placed between the ridges.

However, <u>Fa et al.</u> nowhere discloses, as recited in claim 35, and similarly in claims 38 and 41:

wherein said ridges hold said seal member and prevent said seal member from being pulled off from the first surface formed on said one of said valve piston and said plunger and at the same time said grooves cooperatively define an air passage between said valve piston and said plunger (emphasis added).

That is, in contrast to the claimed invention, <u>Fa et al.</u> discloses seals 51-53 that are arranged on the outer surface of the valve piston 34. However, the seals 51-53, as disclosed by <u>Fa et al.</u>, do not contact the plunger 18 contacting with the inner surface of the valve piston 34. Thus, the ridges formed on the plunger 18, as disclosed in <u>Fa et al.</u>, cannot hold the seals 51-53 and cannot "prevent said seals from being pulled off from the first surface formed on said one of said valve piston and said plunger," as recited in claims 35, 38 and 41.

Further, <u>Fa et al.</u> discloses grooves and ridges that are alternately arranged along the axial direction of the plunger 18. However, the ridges disclosed by <u>Fa et al.</u> prevent an air passage from being formed between the plunger 18 and the valve piston 34. That is, the grooves and ridges, as disclosed by <u>Fa et al.</u>, cannot "cooperatively define an air passage between said valve piston and said plunger," as recited in claims 35, 38 and 41.

Furthermore, Fa et al. discloses seals 56, 57 arranged on the grooves, wherein the seals 56, 57 prevent air from passing through a space between the plunger 18 and the valve piston 34. Thus, the grooves disclosed by Fa et al. cannot "cooperatively define an air passage between said valve piston and said plunger," as recited in claims 35, 38 and 41.

Thus, <u>Fa et al.</u> nowhere discloses ridges that cooperatively hold the side surface of the Oring 52 and at the same time grooves that cooperatively define an air passage, as recited in the claimed invention. Thus, for this reason and the reasons discussed above, <u>Fa et al.</u> cannot overcome the deficiencies of <u>Perkins</u>.

Application No.: 10/824,385 Attorney Docket No.: 20402-00621-US1

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that neither <u>Perkins</u> nor <u>Fa et al.</u>, whether taken individually or in combination, do not disclose, suggest or make obvious the claimed invention and that claims 35, 38 and 41, and claims dependent thereon, patentably distinguish thereover.

Conclusions

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance are, therefore, respectfully solicited.

In the event the Examiner believes an interview might serve to advance the prosecution of this application in any way, the undersigned attorney is available at the telephone number noted below.

Applicant believes no fees are due with this request. However, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees, or credit any overpayment, associated with this communication, including any extension fees, to Deposit Account No. 22-0185.

Dated: July 22, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Keith Wyche

Registration No.: 47,341

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3425

(202) 331-7111

(202) 293-6229 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant